Sri H. V. KOUJALGI. (Minister for Revenue) :—

(*a*) No,

(*b*) Does not arise.

ATTACHMENT OF FURNITURE OF THE OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, NORTH KANARA.

10. SRI M. H. JAYAPRAKASH NARAYAN (Sirsi).—

Will the Minister for Revenue be pleased to state :—

(*a*) whether it is a fact that the furniture of the Office of the Deputy Commissioner of North Kanara District at Karwar was attached on 22-1-1970 as per the Court Order ;

(*b*) the reasons for such an instance :

(*c*) the person responsible for this lapse and the action taken by them in that behalf ?

SRI H. V. KOUJALGI,— (MINISTER FOR REVENUE)

(*a*) No.

(*b*) and (*c*) Do not arise.

SMT. WINNIFRED F. FERNANDES :— For the question in (a), the Government have stated 'No.' I think the Government has not used its common-sense because.....

SRI M. H. JAYAPRAKASH NARAYAN ;— When the Hon. Speaker was not in the Chair, there was lot of discussion about that word.

MR. SPEAKER : I was listening to everything. The use of that word was not rather happy. But why does the Hon. Member Smt. Fernandes commit the same mistake ? She should avoid using that word.

SMT. WINNIFRED F. FERNANDES : I am using that word because the Hon. Minister has not given me a correct answer.

MR. SPEAKER : The Hon. Member should avoid that word.

SMT. WINNIFRED F. FERNANDES : In the "Indian Express", the following report has appeared under date 22nd January :

"The Civil Judge of Karwar Mr. V. D. Puned recently issued orders in an execution proceeding for the sale of moveables from the office of the Deputy Commissioner,North Kanara, for the recovery of a decree amount of Rs. 508. 94.

"The Government having failed to pay the amount to the decree holder, Mr. Vittal Manjunath Prabhu, a former godown keeper, the Civil Judge directed the issue of a "char" warrant against the Governmment for the recovery of the amount under the court decree by attaching and sale of the moveables from th offiece of the Deputy Commissioner, North Kanara.

"Mr. Prabhu had sued the Government for the payment of the excess amount recovered from him as godown keeper and obtained a decree against the Government. He took out execution proceedings against the Government for the recovery of the decretal dues as the Government failed to pay the amount."

This in what has appeared in the papers. Then how does the Governmant say that nothing has come to their knowledge, that it is not a fact and that the question of fixing responsibility does not arise?

I want to know whether what is published in the paper is a fact and if so, what action you are going to take against the officer who has given this answer ?

SRI H. V. KOUJALGI : I request the Hon. Member to read the question carefully. Orders regarding the sale of the property is not passed and that has not come to the notice of the Government. Only execution proceedings were started and as soonas it came to our notice the money was paid.

SMT. WINNIFRED FERNANDES : When the decree amount was only Rs. 508 and when the movables of the Deputy Commissioner's office were attached for that small amount is it not a slur on the administration?

SRI H. V. KOUJALGI ; I again repeat that there was a request but there was no order and no attachment. I think it is a legal procedure. The member will understand that there was no attachment at all. Assoon as execution application was failed it was brought to the notice and the money was paid.

SRI H. SIDDAVEERAPPA : What was the prayer in the execution application and what was the order of the Court?

SRI H. V. KOJALGI : The prayer was for attachment of moveables and recovery of money.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬೋಳ ರಘುರಾಮಶೆಟ್ಟಿ : ಡಿಕ್ರಿ ಯಾವಾಗ ಆಯಿತು? ಡಿಕ್ರಿ ಯಾದನಂತರ ಇಷ್ಟು ಸಣ್ಣ ಮೊಬಲಗನ್ನು ಇನ್ನೂ ಕೂಡದೇ ಇರಲು ಕಾರಣವೇನು?

SRI H. V. KOUJALGI : I think the decree was passed on 31st January 1969.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ನಾಗಪ್ಪ : ೧೯೬೯ನೇ ಜನವರಿಯಲಿ ಡಿಕ್ರಿಯಾದರೂ ಸಹ ಒಂದು ವರ್ಷದವರೆಗೂ ಹಣ ಕೂಡದೆ ಹಾಗೆಯೇ ಬಿಟ್ಟಿರುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಕಾರಣವೇನು? ೨೨ನೇ ಜನವರಿ ೧೯೭೦ಕ್ಕೆ ಅಟ್ಯಾಚ್‌ಮೆಂಟ್ ಮಾಡಿ ಎಂದು ಸಿವಿಲ್ ಜಡ್ಜ್ ಆರ್ಡರ್ ಪಾಸ್ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದು ನಿಜವೇ?

SRI H. V. KOUJALGI : From the records I find that there was only a prayer and the orders were not yet passed.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಭಾಸ್ಕರಶೆಟ್ಟಿ : ೨೨ನೇ ಜನವರಿ ೧೯೭೦ಕ್ಕೆ ಜಪ್ತಿ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದು ನಿಜವೇ? ಅವಲ್ ಜಾರಿ ಯಾಗಿದೆಯೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ೫೦೯-೯೪ ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳನ್ನು ವಸೂಲು ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಅಮಲ್ ಜಾರಿ ಬಂದಿದೆಯೆಂದರೆ, ಇಷ್ಟು ದೊಡ್ಡ ಸಿಂಡಿಕೇಟ್ ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ನಾಚಿಕೆಯಲ್ಲವೇ?

SRI H. V. KOUJALGI : When there is a claim and the Government did not admit it, they went on an appeal. It was dismissed. They wanted to go in revision but the legal opinion was that it was not necessary. In the meanwhile there was delay in taking action.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಎಚ್. ಜಯಪ್ರಕಾಶ್ ನಾರಾಯಣ್ : ವಾರಂಟ್ ಹೋಗಿದ್ದು ನಿಜವೋ ಇಲ್ಲವೊ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ವಿ. ಕೌಜಲಗಿ : ಇಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎನ್.ಲೋಕಯ್ಯನಾಯಕ್: ಆ ರೀತಿ ಜಪ್ತಿ ಮಾಡಿದಂತಹವರಿಗೆ ''ಪದ್ಮಶ್ರೀ ಭೂಷಣ'' ಎಂಬ ಪದವಿ ಕೊಡುತ್ತೀರಾ?

MR. SPEAKER : It need not be replied. Now we go to call attention Notice. The Hon. Member who has given notice of it is not present. Now we will take up Financial Business. Sri Amalorpavam will speak on the Demands.

BUDGET ESTIMATES FOR 1970-71 DEMANDS FOR GRANTS

[(*Demands Nos. 10, 12 & 13 Debate Contd*)]

Sri M. A. AMALORPAVAM:—(Bharatinagar) Mr. Speaker, I rise with your permission to support Demands Nos. 10, 12 and 13 pertainig to the General Admnistration, Jails and Police. I must confess that we are all very happy that ever since Sri Veerendra Patil took over as Chief